					SITE ASS	SESSMENT - Bentall	Centre	Car Park	s A and	B			
Address: Bentall Ce	entre Car Pa	rks A and B,	I	Area:	0.77 Ha		eentre						
Steadfast Road, Kingston upon Site Refer										Current Ris	k Summary	1	
								Fluvial / Tidal			Groundwater		
Current Use					Proposed Use			FZ2	100	% of Site	<25	0	% of
Commercial, business and service uses and car park				Desident	dential-led mixed-use development, including commercial,			FZ3a	13.38	% of Site	25-50	0	% of
				business and service uses				FZ3b	0	% of Site	50-75	100	% of
					business and serv	vice uses		Su	irface Wat	er	>75	0	% of
				•				1 in 30*	0	% of Site		Artificial	
Current Vulnerability Classification				Proposed Vulnerability Classification				1 in 100*+	0	% of Site	D		I
Less Vulnerable								1 in 1000*	4.65	% of Site	Reservoir	YES	At ris
				More Vulnerable				Sewer Flooding					
								No. Incidents					74
								* return perio	ts * FZ3a (su	FZ3a (surface water)			
						FLUVIAL /	TIDAL				-		
Risk A	ssessment	(Thames De	fended)										
Parameter	FZ3b	FZ3a	*FZ3a+CC	Units	Descriptio	on of Flood Mechanism		Site Access / Egress		1		М	
Time of Onset	N/A	325.00	251.00	Hrs	-	from fluvial flooding from the		Site access and egress routes should				Only water con	
Min. Depth	0	0.04	0.02	m	River Thames, alor	ng the western boundary of the		be directed to the north-eastern				Exception Test) a	
Max. Depth	0	0.8	1.88	m	site.			corner of the site towards Steadfast Road where is a lower risk of fluvial				Self-containe permitted in F2	
Max. Velocity	0	0.22	0.32	m/s		ood risk extent for the climate or the River Thames covers all of							
Max Flood Level	0	7.06	8.1	m AOD	-	than the small area in the centre		flooding.				Report mi	
Max Ground Level	7.59	7.59	7.59	m AOD	of the site.							additional	-
Vin Ground Level	5.43	5.43	5.43	m AOD		od risk extent for the climate						• A FRA m	
Max Flood Hazard	0	1.32	2.06	N/A		or the River Hogsmill covers a small eastern corrner of the site.						• Include	approp
Duration of Flood	N/A	>14	>88	Hrs		s predicted to increase the						to addres	
The +35% Climate Change Allowance event is reviewed					epth, hazard and flood level in the						• See SFR	•	
Risk Assessment (Thames Undefended)				1		only on the River Thames.						4.2 and 4.	.3 for fu
Parameter	FZ3a	*FZ3a+CC	Units			artially flooded from the onset						Develop	a Floo
Time of Onset	324.00	N/D	Hrs			oded for in excess of 88 hours. nent Defended and Undefended						• Site use	
Min. Depth	0.04	N/D	m	1		se case watercourse only, which is						Service.	
Max. Depth	0.8	N/D	m	1	the River Thames.	···· //							
Max. Velocity	0.22	N/D	m/s	1									
, Max. Hazard	1.32	N/D	N/A	1	Figure 1 - Fluvia	al Flood Depth Map	I	Figure 2 - F	uvial Flood	Hazard M	ар		
Duration of Flood	>15	N/D	Hrs	1		· · · · ·						<u>I</u>	
			1	1		SURFACE V	NATER						
	Risk As	sessment											
Parameter	1 in 30	1 in 100	1 in 1000	Units	Site	e Access / Egress		Mitigation - Flood Risk Reg			Requiremen	nts	1
Min. Depth	N/A	N/A	N/A	m		vater flood risk at the site is		N/A - surface water flood risk at the			-		1
Max. Depth	N/A	N/A	N/A	m	negligible							- 3 8 8 8	
Max. Velocity	N/A	N/A	N/A	m/s									
	11/7	11/7	/^	11/3				1					1

*The 1 in 1000 annual probability extent represents the potential climate change adjusted impact of current risk Description of Flood Mechanism

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A - surface water flood risk at the site is negligible

N/A

Figure 3 - RoFSW Flood Depth Map

Figure 4 - RoFSW Flood Hazard Map

Max. Hazard





Flood Defences

Site is not in an area benefitting from flood defences.

Flood Warning Area

The EA Flood Warning Service is available at this site.

1itigation / FRA Requirements

npatible or essential uses (subject to the are permitted in FZ3b.

basement dwellings and bedrooms are not a (western side of the site). See SFRA Level 2 n requirement numbers 4.8 and 4.9 for nent stipulations.

submitted as part of a planning application. riate flood resistance or resilience measures cted flood depths.

2 Report mitigation requirement numbers urther development stipulations.

d Emergency and Evacuation Plan for the site. Id be signed up to the EA's Flood Warning

Mitigation - Surface Water Drainage

N/A - surface water flood risk at the site is negligible

SITE ASSESSMENT - Bentall Centre Car Parks A and B

SEWER **Risk Assessment**

• The site falls within a postcode area where there are 74 reported

flood incidents from sewer flooding.

• The site is assumed to be served by separate surface water and foul sewer networks.

Figure 5 - Thames Water Sewer Flood Map

Mitigation Requirements

• Applicant must consult with TWUL to confirm if the development site has historically flooded. TWUL must agree to any proposed sewer connections. • Where historic flooding has occurred, the applicant must show how this risk will be managed for the lifetime of the development.

GROUNDWATER **Risk Assessment**

 The site is classified as having >=50% <75% susceptibility to groundwater flooding. • The site is underlain by London Clay bedrock geology and superficial deposits of Langley Silt.

Figure 6 - Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding Map **Mitigation Requirements** Applicant should carry out a screening study (as a minimum) to establish if there are any subterranean flood risk issues that may require further investigation. If there is a potential level of impact, mitigation actions must be proposed. Must be prepared by a chartered professional or specialist.

Risk Assessment This site is risk of flooding from reservoirs based on the EA reservoir Wet Day Extent.

Figure 7 - Outline Reservoir Flood Map

• Propose appropriate and proportionate risk management measures. • A suitable emergency response plan should be put in place, including an emergency warning system in the event of a reservoir flooding incident. • Local Authority Emergency Planning Officers must be consulted to create a reservoir failure emergency and evacuation plan.

PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS

Safety of Development

A. Can the development be future proofed for climate change considerations?

• Yes. See SFRA - Level 2 Report Section 4 mitigation requirement number 4.2 for the required flood resistant / resilient building stipulations.

B. Can the development be designed safe throughout its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere?

• Yes. The development must use surface water drainage techniques to manage surface water runoff onsite through above ground SuDS and / or below ground attenuation. Green drainage infrastructure should be prioritised to provide wider ecological / biodiversity benefits as per London Plan Policy SI 13.

• See SFRA - Level 2 Report Section 4 mitigation requirement number 4.5 for compensatory flood storage stipulations.

C. What is the cumulative impact of the development land use change and will flood risk increase?

• The development land use is changing from the 'Less Vulnerable' to the 'More Vulnerable' classification, as residential uses have been proposed.

• The site is currently a brownfield site with hardstanding areas only other than on the north-western boundary. This offers an opportunity to improve flood attenuation through the new development.

D. How can the development reduce risk overall?

• Direct development away from westen area of the site.

• Site access and egress routes should be directed towards the part the northern part of Steadfast Road which is at lowest risk of flooding.

• By complying with Policy DM4 from Kingston Local Plan through including SuDS to ensure that the development is not vulnerable to surface water, sewer and groundwater flooding and to reduce the overall level of flood risk in the borough and beyond.

• By complying with SFRA - Level 2 Report Section 4 mitigation requirement numbers 4.2, 4.3 and 4.5.

E. Will development require a flood risk permit/watercourse consent?

• No. The site is not located within 8m of a Main River or 5m of an Ordinary Watercourse.

F. Can the site pass the Exception Test?

• Yes. The Exception Test is required for this site as 13.38% of the site area in Flood Zone 3a (fluvial) and the proposed vulnerability classification is 'More Vulnerable'.

• This can be passed by making the site safe throughout its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere (see questions A, B, and C). The site could also reduce flood risk overall with appropriate SuDS and flood storage compensation measures implemented (see 'Mitigation - Flood Risk Requirements' and 'Mitigation - Surface Water Drainage' boxes).

ARTIFICIAL

Mitigation Requirements

















