

# **SITE ASSESSMENT - Thames Side Wharf**

Address: Thames Side Wharf, Vicarage
Lane, Kingston upon Thames

Area: 0.29 Ha

Site Reference: KNK07

Current Use Proposed Use

Vacant Land

Residential-led mixed-use development, including commercial, business and service uses

Current Vulnerability Classification	Proposed Vulnerability Classification	
Water Compatible	More Vulnerable	

Current Risk Summary					
Fluvial / Tidal		Groundwater			
FZ2	100	% of Site	<25	0	% of Site
FZ3a	60.7	% of Site	25-50	0	% of Site
FZ3b	23.81	% of Site	50-75	100	% of Site
Su	rface Wate	er	>75 0 9		% of Site
1 in 30*	0	% of Site	Artificial		
1 in 100*+	0	% of Site	Reservoir	YES	At risk?
1 in 1000*	0	% of Site			
Sewer Flooding					
No. Incidents			74		

Flood Defences
Site is not in an area benefitting from flood defences.
Flood Warning Area

The EA Flood Warning
Service is available at this
site.

#### **FLUVIAL / TIDAL**

Risk Assessment (Thames Defended)				
Parameter	FZ3b	FZ3a	*FZ3a+CC	Units
Time of Onset	251	214	177	Hrs
Min. Depth	0.13	0.06	0.57	m
Max. Depth	0.99	1.54	2.57	m
Max. Velocity	0.41	0.44	0.42	m/s
Max Flood Level	6.52	7.07	8.1	m AOD
Max Ground Level	7.65	7.65	7.65	m AOD
Min Ground Level	5.39	5.39	5.39	m AOD
Max Flood Hazard	1.73	1.99	2.83	N/A
Duration of Flood	>88	>125	>162	Hrs

<sup>\*</sup> The +35% Climate Change Allowance event is reviewed

Risk Assessment (Thames Undefended)				
Parameter	FZ3a	*FZ3a+CC Unit		
Time of Onset	202	N/A	Hrs	
Min. Depth	0.06	N/A	m	
Max. Depth	1.54	N/A	m	
Max. Velocity	0.44	N/A	m/s	
Max. Hazard	1.99	N/A	N/A	
Duration of Flood	>137	N/A	Hrs	

# **Description of Flood Mechanism**

- The site is at risk from fluvial flooding from the River Thames and Hogsmill, in the western part of the site.
- The predicted flood risk extent for the climate change scenario for the River Thames covers all of the site area.
- The predicted flood risk extent for the climate change scenario for the River Hogsmill covers the western edge of the site.
- Climate change is predicted to increase the flood depth, hazard and flood level in the defended scenarios.
- The site will be partially flooded from the onset and will remain flooded for in excess of 162 hours.

Site Access / Egress

Note: Risk Assessment Defended and Undefended data is for the worse case

Figure 1 - Fluvial Flood Depth Map

negligible

# Site Access / Egress

Site access and egress routes should be directed to the east of the site towards Vicarge Road where is a lower risk of fluvial flooding.

#### Mitigation / FRA Requirements

- Self-contained basement dwellings and bedrooms are not permitted in FZ3a (the western side of the site). See SFRA Level 2 Report mitigation requirement numbers 4.10 for additional basement stipulations.
- A FRA must be submitted as part of a planning application.
- Include appropriate flood resistance or resilience measures to address predicted flood depths.
- See SFRA Level 2 Report mitigation requirement numbers 4.2 and 4.3 for further development stipulations.
- Develop a Flood Emergency and Evacuation Plan for the site.
- Site users should be signed up to the EA's Flood Warning Service.

#### **SURFACE WATER**

Risk Assessment				
Parameter	1 in 30	1 in 100	1 in 1000	Units
Min. Depth	N/A	N/A	N/A	m
Max. Depth	N/A	N/A	N/A	m
Max. Velocity	N/A	N/A	N/A	m/s
Max. Hazard	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

 ${}^{*}$ The 1 in 1000 annual probability extent represents the potential climate change adjusted impact of current risk

# **Description of Flood Mechanism**

N/A - surface water flood risk at the site is negligible

N/A - surface water flood risk at the site is

N/A - surface water flood risk at the site is negligible

**Mitigation - Flood Risk Requirements** 

# Mitigation - Surface Water Drainage

N/A - surface water flood risk at the site is negligible

Figure 3 - RoFSW Flood Depth Map

Figure 4 - RoFSW Flood Hazard Map

September 2024 v1.0 Page 1 of 4

Figure 2 - Fluvial Flood Hazard Map

# **SITE ASSESSMENT - Thames Side Wharf**

SEWER
Risk Assessment
• The site falls within a postcode area where there are 74 reported

- The site falls within a postcode area where there are 74 reported flood incidents from sewer flooding.
- The site is assumed to be served by separate surface water and foul sewer networks.

# Figure 5 - Thames Water Sewer Flood Map

#### **Mitigation Requirements**

- Applicant must consult with TWUL to confirm if the development site has historically flooded. TWUL must agree to any proposed sewer connections.
- Where historic flooding has occurred, the applicant must show how this risk will be managed for the lifetime of the development.

#### **GROUNDWATER**

#### Risk Assessment

- The site is classified as having >=50% <75% susceptibility to groundwater flooding.
- The site is underlain by London Clay bedrock geology and superficial deposits of Langley Silt.

# Figure 6 - Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding Map

#### **Mitigation Requirements**

- Applicant should carry out a screening study (as a minimum) to establish if there are any subterranean flood risk issues that may require further investigation.
- If there is a potential level of impact, mitigation actions must be proposed.
- Must be prepared by a chartered professional or specialist.

#### ARTIFICIAL

#### Risk Assessment

 This site is risk of flooding from reservoirs based on the EA reservoir Wet Day Extent.

# Figure 7 - Outline Reservoir Flood Map

#### **Mitigation Requirements**

- Propose appropriate and proportionate risk management measures.
- A suitable emergency response plan should be put in place, including an emergency warning system in the event of a reservoir flooding incident.
- Local Authority Emergency Planning Officers must be consulted to create a reservoir failure emergency and evacuation plan.

#### **PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS**

#### Safety of Development

## A. Can the development be future proofed for climate change considerations?

• Yes. See SFRA - Level 2 Report Section 4 mitigation requirement number 4.2 for the required flood resistant / resilient building stipulations.

# B. Can the development be designed safe throughout its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere?

- Yes. The development must use surface water drainage techniques to manage surface water runoff onsite through above ground SuDS and / or below ground attenuation. Green drainage infrastructure should be prioritised to provide wider ecological / biodiversity benefits as per London Plan Policy SI 13.
- See SFRA Level 2 Report Section 4 mitigation requirement number 4.5 for compensatory flood storage stipulations.

## C. What is the cumulative impact of the development land use change and will flood risk increase?

- The development land use is changing from the 'Water Compatible' to the 'More Vulnerable' classification, as residential uses have been proposed.
- The site is currently a brownfield site with mostly hardstanding areas and some vegetated areas. This offers an opportunity to improve flood attenuation through the new development.

### D. How can the development reduce risk overall?

- Development should be directed away from western parts of the site.
- Site access and egress routes should be directed towards Vicarge Road which is at very low risk of flooding.
- By complying with Policy DM4 from Kingston Local Plan through including SuDS to ensure that the development is not vulnerable to surface water, sewer and groundwater flooding and to reduce the overall level of flood risk in the borough and beyond.
- By complying with SFRA Level 2 Report Section 4 mitigation requirement numbers 4.2, 4.3 and 4.5.

#### E. Will development require a flood risk permit/watercourse consent?


• No. The site is not located within 8m of a Main River or 5m of an Ordinary Watercourse.


# F. Can the site pass the Exception Test?


- Yes. The Exception Test is required for this site as 60.70% of the site area in Flood Zone 3a (fluvial) and the proposed vulnerability classification is 'More Vulnerable'.
- This can be passed by making the site safe throughout its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere (see questions A, B, and C). The site could also reduce flood risk overall with appropriate SuDS and flood storage compensation measures implemented (see 'Mitigation Flood Risk Requirements' and 'Mitigation Surface Water Drainage' boxes).














September 2024 v1.0 Page 3 of 4









September 2024 v1.0