

### SITE ASSESSMENT - Hawks Road Clinic

<b>Address:</b> Hawks Road, Kingston, KT1 3EW	<b>Area:</b> 0.32 Ha
	<b>Site Reference:</b> SA 045

Current Use	Proposed Use
Former Health Clinic, Car Park	Mixed Use (Residential, Health Clinic) - 23 residential units

Current Vulnerability Classification	Proposed Vulnerability Classification
Less Vulnerable	More Vulnerable

Current Risk Summary					
Fluvial / Tidal			Groundwater		
FZ2	0	% of Site	<25	100	% of Site
FZ3a	0	% of Site	25-50	0	% of Site
FZ3b	0	% of Site	50-75	0	% of Site
Surface Water			>75	0	% of Site
1 in 30	0	% of Site	Artificial		
1 in 100	0	% of Site	Reservoir	N	At risk?
1 in 1000	24.6	% of Site	Canal	N	At risk?
Sewer Flooding			Town Centre		
No. Incidents	128		Y/N		N

Flood Defences
The site is not in an area benefitting from flood defences.
Flood Warning Area
This site is not within a flood warning area.

#### FLUVIAL / TIDAL

Risk Assessment (Defended)				
Parameter	FZ3b	FZ3a	*FZ3a+CC	Units
Speed of inundation	N/A	N/A	N/A	Hrs
Min. Depth	N/A	N/A	N/A	m
Max. Depth	N/A	N/A	N/A	m
Max. Velocity	N/A	N/A	N/A	m/s
Max Flood Level	N/A	N/A	N/A	m AOD
Max Ground Level	N/A	N/A	N/A	m AOD
Min Ground Level	N/A	N/A	N/A	m AOD
Max Flood Hazard	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Duration of Flood	N/A	N/A	N/A	Hrs

\* The +35% Climate Change Allowance event (upper end allowance extreme case) is reviewed

Risk Assessment (Undefended)			
Parameter	FZ3a	*FZ3a+CC	Units
Speed of inundation	N/A	N/A	Hrs
Min. Depth	N/A	N/A	m
Max. Depth	N/A	N/A	m
Max. Velocity	N/A	N/A	m/s
Max. Hazard	N/A	N/A	N/A
Duration of Flood	N/A	N/A	Hrs

Description of Flood Mechanism
N/A - No fluvial / tidal risk is predicted at this site.

Figure 1 - Fluvial Flood Depth Map

Site Access / Egress
N/A - No fluvial / tidal risk is predicted at this site.

Figure 2 - Fluvial Flood Hazard Map

Mitigation / FRA Requirements
N/A - No fluvial / tidal risk is predicted at this site.

#### SURFACE WATER

Risk Assessment				
Parameter	1 in 30	1 in 100	1 in 1000	Units
Min. Depth	0	0	0.00-0.15	m
Max. Depth	0	0	0.30-0.60	m
Max. Velocity	0	0	0.50-1.00	m/s
Max. Hazard	0	0	1.25-2.00	N/A

\*The 1 in 1000 annual probability extent represents the potential climate change adjusted impact of current risk

Description of Flood Mechanism
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The site is currently at low risk of surface water flooding.</li> <li>Hawks Road to the north of the site, and Washington Road to the east of the site are predicted to be at risk from surface water flooding.</li> <li>Climate change is predicted to increase the flood extent, depth, velocity, and hazard in the 1 in 1000 year scenario.</li> </ul>

Site Access / Egress
Safe egress routes should be directed towards the south of the site towards Wimpole Close where there is a lower risk of flooding.

Figure 3 - RoFSW Flood Depth Map

Mitigation - Flood Risk Requirements
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Development should be directed away from the eastern area of the site and western edge where there is higher risk of surface water flooding.</li> <li>See also SFRA Level 2 Report mitigation requirement numbers 4.2, 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6 for further development stipulations.</li> </ul>

Figure 4 - RoFSW Flood Hazard Map

Mitigation - Surface Water Drainage
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A Kingston SuDS Proforma must be submitted with planning application.</li> <li>Developments should apply the Sustainable Drainage Hierarchy set out in Policy SI13 of the London Plan.</li> <li>Ground investigations are required to confirm whether infiltration SuDS are suitable.</li> </ul>

## SITE ASSESSMENT - Hawks Road Clinic

SITE ASSESSMENT - Hawks Road Clinic														
SEWER	GROUNDWATER	ARTIFICIAL												
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #D3D3D3;"> <th style="text-align: center;">Risk Assessment</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The site falls within a postcode area where there are 128 reported flood incidents from sewer flooding.</li> <li>The site is served by separate surface water and foul sewer networks.</li> </ul> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="font-size: small; color: #0070C0;">Figure 5 - Thames Water Sewer Flood Map</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #D3D3D3;"> <th style="text-align: center;">Mitigation Requirements</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant must consult with TWUL to confirm if the development site has historically flooded. TWUL must agree to any proposed sewer connections.</li> <li>Where historic flooding has occurred, the applicant must show how this risk will be managed for the lifetime of the development.</li> </ul> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Risk Assessment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The site falls within a postcode area where there are 128 reported flood incidents from sewer flooding.</li> <li>The site is served by separate surface water and foul sewer networks.</li> </ul>	Mitigation Requirements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant must consult with TWUL to confirm if the development site has historically flooded. TWUL must agree to any proposed sewer connections.</li> <li>Where historic flooding has occurred, the applicant must show how this risk will be managed for the lifetime of the development.</li> </ul>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #D3D3D3;"> <th style="text-align: center;">Risk Assessment</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The site is classified as having &lt;25% susceptibility to groundwater flooding.</li> <li>The site is underlain by London Clay bedrock geology.</li> </ul> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="font-size: small; color: #0070C0;">Figure 6 - Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding Map</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #D3D3D3;"> <th style="text-align: center;">Mitigation Requirements</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant should carry out a screening study (as a minimum) to establish if there are any subterranean flood risk issues that may require further investigation.</li> <li>If there is a potential level of impact, mitigation actions must be proposed.</li> <li>Must be prepared by a chartered professional or specialist.</li> </ul> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Risk Assessment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The site is classified as having &lt;25% susceptibility to groundwater flooding.</li> <li>The site is underlain by London Clay bedrock geology.</li> </ul>	Mitigation Requirements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant should carry out a screening study (as a minimum) to establish if there are any subterranean flood risk issues that may require further investigation.</li> <li>If there is a potential level of impact, mitigation actions must be proposed.</li> <li>Must be prepared by a chartered professional or specialist.</li> </ul>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #D3D3D3;"> <th style="text-align: center;">Risk Assessment</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This site is at risk of flooding from a number of different reservoirs including the Barwell Court Lake, Chertsey Settling, Hampton (Distributing, Grand Junction and Sunnyside), Island Barn, Queen Elizabeth II, Queen Mother, Staines (North and South), Walton (Knight), and Wraysbury.</li> <li>The reservoir extent map predicts that if any of these reservoirs breach on a wet day, the site will be at risk of flooding.</li> </ul> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="font-size: small; color: #0070C0;">Figure 7 - Outline Reservoir Flood Map</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #D3D3D3;"> <th style="text-align: center;">Mitigation Requirements</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Propose appropriate and proportionate risk management measures.</li> <li>A suitable emergency response plan should be put in place, including an emergency warning system in the event of a reservoir flooding incident.</li> <li>Local Authority Emergency Planning Officers must be consulted to create a reservoir failure emergency and evacuation plan.</li> </ul> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Risk Assessment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This site is at risk of flooding from a number of different reservoirs including the Barwell Court Lake, Chertsey Settling, Hampton (Distributing, Grand Junction and Sunnyside), Island Barn, Queen Elizabeth II, Queen Mother, Staines (North and South), Walton (Knight), and Wraysbury.</li> <li>The reservoir extent map predicts that if any of these reservoirs breach on a wet day, the site will be at risk of flooding.</li> </ul>	Mitigation Requirements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Propose appropriate and proportionate risk management measures.</li> <li>A suitable emergency response plan should be put in place, including an emergency warning system in the event of a reservoir flooding incident.</li> <li>Local Authority Emergency Planning Officers must be consulted to create a reservoir failure emergency and evacuation plan.</li> </ul>
Risk Assessment														
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The site falls within a postcode area where there are 128 reported flood incidents from sewer flooding.</li> <li>The site is served by separate surface water and foul sewer networks.</li> </ul>														
Mitigation Requirements														
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant must consult with TWUL to confirm if the development site has historically flooded. TWUL must agree to any proposed sewer connections.</li> <li>Where historic flooding has occurred, the applicant must show how this risk will be managed for the lifetime of the development.</li> </ul>														
Risk Assessment														
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The site is classified as having &lt;25% susceptibility to groundwater flooding.</li> <li>The site is underlain by London Clay bedrock geology.</li> </ul>														
Mitigation Requirements														
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant should carry out a screening study (as a minimum) to establish if there are any subterranean flood risk issues that may require further investigation.</li> <li>If there is a potential level of impact, mitigation actions must be proposed.</li> <li>Must be prepared by a chartered professional or specialist.</li> </ul>														
Risk Assessment														
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This site is at risk of flooding from a number of different reservoirs including the Barwell Court Lake, Chertsey Settling, Hampton (Distributing, Grand Junction and Sunnyside), Island Barn, Queen Elizabeth II, Queen Mother, Staines (North and South), Walton (Knight), and Wraysbury.</li> <li>The reservoir extent map predicts that if any of these reservoirs breach on a wet day, the site will be at risk of flooding.</li> </ul>														
Mitigation Requirements														
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Propose appropriate and proportionate risk management measures.</li> <li>A suitable emergency response plan should be put in place, including an emergency warning system in the event of a reservoir flooding incident.</li> <li>Local Authority Emergency Planning Officers must be consulted to create a reservoir failure emergency and evacuation plan.</li> </ul>														

PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS
Safety of Development
<p><b>A. Can the development be future proofed for climate change considerations?</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Yes. See SFRA - Level 2 Report mitigation requirement number 4.2 and 4.4 for the required finished floor levels and flood resistant / resilient building stipulations.</li> </ul> <p><b>B. Can the development be designed safe throughout its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere?</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Yes. The development must use surface water drainage techniques to manage surface water runoff onsite through above ground SuDS and / or below ground attenuation. Green drainage infrastructure should be prioritised to provide wider ecological / biodiversity benefits as per London Plan Policy SI 13.</li> <li>See SFRA - Level 2 Report mitigation requirement number 4.5 for compensatory flood storage stipulations.</li> </ul> <p><b>C. What is the cumulative impact of the development land use change and will flood risk increase?</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The development land use is changing from the 'Less Vulnerable' to the 'More Vulnerable' classification, as residential and health clinic uses have been proposed.</li> <li>The site is currently a brownfield site with hardstanding areas. However, there are strips of green space surrounding the building and car park. An increase in impermeable area coverage on site will increase surface water runoff and flood risk if not managed properly.</li> </ul> <p><b>D. How can the development reduce risk overall?</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Direct development away from the eastern section and western edges of the site where there is higher risk of surface water flooding.</li> <li>Include SuDS to manage surface water runoff and reduce run-off rates to comply with Policy DM 4 in Kingston's Core Strategy.</li> <li>Safe egress routes should be directed towards the south of the site towards Wimpole Close where there is a lower risk of flooding.</li> <li>By complying with SFRA - Level 2 Report mitigation requirement numbers 4.2, 4.4 and 4.5.</li> </ul> <p><b>E. Will development require a flood risk permit/watercourse consent?</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No. The site is not located within 8m of a Main River or 5m of an Ordinary Watercourse.</li> </ul> <p><b>F. Is the Exception Test required?</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No. The Exception Test is not required for this site as there are no areas within Flood Zone 3a.</li> <li>The site should be made safe throughout its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere (see questions A, B, and C). The site could also reduce flood risk overall with appropriate SuDS and flood storage compensation measures implemented (see Mitigation - Surface Water Drainage and Mitigation - Flood Risk Requirements boxes).</li> </ul>



